

Dermatology Negotiation-Ready Report for Juniper Dermatology

UHC | Options PPO | <State> | <Zip>



A Pulse Check for Your Practice

by Reveon Health

21-Jun-2026

Executive Summary

Overall assessment: Reveon Health assesses the case for Cat Green, M.D. (Dermatology) employed by Juniper Dermatology in zip code <zip>, to be very strong for a rate-negotiation discussion with UHC / Options PPO. Of the 10 selected billing codes, 9 are "Very Strong," 1 are "Likely," 0 are "Maybe," and 0 are "No" for underpayment possibility. The selected employer is paid below the peer median on 10 of 10 selected codes, averaging 19.6% below peer median rates.

For UHC / Options PPO in <State>, we resolved the baseline contracting group as containing approximately 78 providers and selected 10 billing code(s) for detailed review. Across those selected codes, the employer is paid below the peer median on 10 of 10 codes, with an average delta of 19.6% below peer median rates.

Comparison groups were scored statewide and sorted by comp-score using adaptive distance thresholds centered on zip code, <zip>.

On average, the selected billing codes include 20 peer groups with a median comp score of 58.1. For the lead billing code, 3 comps scored High, 4 scored Medium, and 13 scored Low.

Caveats

- No major data-quality issues were noted while building this report.



Methodology

Reveon Health first validates the requested NPI, Employer, and zip code within the selected processed data file and determines a baseline contracting group for the user. We then scan all other provider groups and employers within the same data set and rank these statewide comparison groups (“comps”) based on (1) their distance from the baseline group, (2) their contracting group size and employer size similarity to the baseline group, and (3) the similarity of their specialty/taxonomy match to the baseline group. A score is calculated for each comp, which allows rating of the comps as High, Medium, or Low. We select a total of up to 20 comps for each billing code we compare to. Multiple NPIs from the same employer or provider group are collapsed into a single comp-group row so that one large employer does not dominate the comparison set.

- High comps have strong specialty, geography, and size similarity.
- Medium comps remain directionally useful but include at least one weaker match dimension.
- Low comps are retained only when still directionally informative and are down-weighted in interpretation.

Next, Reveon Health scans all candidate billing codes within the specified processed data file for the given specialty for the baseline group. We select the top comps that also have that billing code and then compare the baseline group with those top comps. We select a total of 10 billing codes that balance user input, code diversity (E/M, labs, procedures, etc.), and significant rate delta between the baseline provider group and the comps. These codes are then processed for reporting.

Multiple employers from the same provider group are collapsed into a single comp-group row and the most relevant employer is chosen so that one large provider group does not dominate the comparison set. Rates are normalized using coverage weights when those counts exist; otherwise the workflow falls back to a simple average.

We perform taxonomy matching by starting with the resolved baseline provider taxonomy (Dermatology). Exact taxonomy matches score highest, while providers in the same provider type (Specialist Physician) but with a slightly different taxonomy remain strong peers. Comps that have a different specialty and taxonomy are weighted lower.

For the selected billing codes, the processed data did not require special handling for multiple group-level rates beyond the standard group-collapsing logic.

Distance thresholds are adapted to local provider density around the requested zip code, so dense urban regions require closer peers while sparse regions allow for high-quality peers at longer distances.

Comps are evaluated using a combination of contracting group size and employer group size. Both are assessed on a logarithmic scale by the ratio of the comparison group size to the baseline group size. The more similar the size of the groups, the higher the group size comp score.



Baseline Provider

The supplied NPI (<NPI>) and EIN (<EIN>) were both found in the processed dataset. The workflow found 1 row(s) for the NPI, 2 row(s) for the EIN, and 1 row(s) where the pair aligned directly.

The baseline provider was resolved to be Cat Green, M.D. within employer, Juniper Dermatology, working within a contracting group of size 78 providers and an employer size of 2 providers. The representative provider taxonomy was Dermatology, which is a type of Specialist Physician, for purposes of comp matching.

The resolved provider's baseline taxonomy (Dermatology) was found to align with the input specialty request (Dermatology).

The supplied identifiers were not unique in the processed dataset: the NPI matched 1 row(s) and the EIN matched 2 row(s). The workflow broke this tie by selecting the highest-confidence contracting group and representative provider row.

Table 1. Baseline provider inputs

Field	Value
Input NPI	<NPI>
Input EIN	<EIN>
Requested Specialty	Dermatology
Input ZIP	<zip>

Table 2. Baseline provider results

Field	Value
NPI Found in Dataset	Yes
EIN Found in Dataset	Yes
NPI/EIN Pair Found	Yes
Provider Found in Input ZIP?	No
Found Provider Name	Cat Green, M.D.
Exact Taxonomy	Dermatology
Provider Type	Specialist Physician
Baseline Match Method	npi_and_ein
Resolved Contracting Group Size	78
Employer Name	Juniper Dermatology
Employer Provider Count	2
NPI Matches in Dataset	1
EIN Matches in Dataset	2
Pair Matches in Dataset	1



Nearby Providers Used for Comps

A high-quality comp matches the baseline taxonomy exactly (Dermatology), or remains within the same provider type (Specialist Physician), is located within a reasonable distance of zip code <zip>, and is part of a contracting group and employer of roughly comparable size to the baseline (78 and 2 providers, respectively). The Comp Score combines taxonomy similarity, distance, contracting-group-size similarity, and employer-size similarity.

The top billing-code comparison set includes 20 unique peer groups, spanning 4.2 to 127.7 miles from the requested zip code. Within this ranked set, 3 comps scored High, 4 scored Medium, and 13 scored Low. Adaptive distance thresholds for this zip code were approximately 10.0 miles for high-quality comps and 116.7 miles for medium-quality comps.

Table 3. Nearby provider comp summary

Comp Score	Comp Quality	Employer Name	Contracting Group Size	Employer Size	Dermatology Providers in Employer	Closest Nearby Provider Distance
baseline	Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	78	2	1	0.0 mi
91.7	High	Faux Specialty Center	59	1	1	11.0 mi
89.0	High	Crow Doe	328	1	1	4.2 mi
81.7	High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	15	1	1	11.0 mi
76.8	Medium	Maple Medical Center	14	10	4	12.3 mi
76.1	Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	30	3	2	50.4 mi
71.9	Medium	Summit Hospital	46	38	2	51.5 mi
61.8	Medium	Sigma Care Associates	518	27	10	11.0 mi
58.2	Low	Sigma Care Associates	118	4	2	118.0 mi
58.1	Low	Lambda Family Clinic	8	1	1	4.2 mi
58.1	Low	Rat Garcia	4	1	1	4.2 mi
58.1	Low	Chicken Lee	83	1	1	120.1 mi
55.6	Low	River Corporation	4	1	1	11.0 mi
55.5	Low	Faux Care Associates	191	2	1	119.5 mi
54.1	Low	Nimbus Corporation	61	1	1	125.5 mi



Nearby Providers Used for Comps

53.9	Low	Mock Family Clinic	28	17	1	119.5 mi
53.2	Low	Cow Ramirez	36	1	1	122.2 mi
52.2	Low	Zenith Hospital	119	2	2	127.7 mi
50.9	Low	Obfuscated Corporation	12	2	1	83.1 mi
50.0	Low	Chicken Lee	17	1	1	125.5 mi
49.1	Low	Nimbus Corporation	435	2	2	116.7 mi



Nearby Comp Example Providers

The table below lists up to five example providers within the employers shown in the nearby comp summary. It shows the kinds of clinicians represented in each employer that are most similar to the baseline provider taxonomy.

Table 4. Nearby comp example providers

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Example Providers	Provider Specialties
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	Cat Green, M.D.	Dermatology
		Hawk Garcia, P.A.-C	Physician Assistant - Medical
High	Faux Specialty Center	Falcon Ramirez, M.D.	Dermatology
High	Crow Doe	Crow Doe	Dermatology
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	Bear Davis, MD	Dermatology
Medium	Maple Medical Center	Dog Campbell	Dermatology
		Dog Moore, NP	Dermatology
		Wolf Green, MD	Dermatology
		Rabbit Hill, M.D	Dermatology - Procedural Dermatology
		Falcon Gonzalez	Nurse Practitioner - Family
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	Hyena White, MD	Dermatology
		Elephant Smith, MD	Dermatology
		Whale Miller, MD	Internal Medicine
Medium	Summit Hospital	Elephant White, M.D.	Pathology - Dermatopathology
		Antelope Lopez, M.D.	Pathology - Dermatopathology
		Panda Jackson, MD	Pathology - Anatomic Pathology & Clinical Pathology
		Shark Doe, D.O.	Pathology - Anatomic Pathology & Clinical Pathology
		Dolphin Wright, MD	Pathology - Anatomic Pathology & Clinical Pathology
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	Rabbit Smith, MD	Dermatology
		Cow Scott, M.D.	Dermatology
		Dog Lopez, MD	Dermatology - Dermatopathology



Nearby Comp Example Providers

		Shark Williams, MD	Dermatology - Dermatopathology
		Goat Wright, M.D.	Dermatology - Dermatopathology
Low	Sigma Care Associates	Turkey Robinson, M.D.	Dermatology
		Mouse Mitchell, MD	Dermatology
		Antelope Taylor, NP-C	Nurse Practitioner
		Dog Wilson, P.A.-C	Physician Assistant
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	Tiger Wilson, M.D.	Dermatology
Low	Rat Garcia	Rat Garcia	Dermatology
Low	Chicken Lee	Chicken Lee	Dermatology
Low	River Corporation	Turkey Hall, MD	Dermatology
Low	Faux Care Associates	Mouse Lee, MD	Dermatology
		Hyena Davis, MD	Otolaryngology
Low	Nimbus Corporation	Snake Green, MD	Dermatology
Low	Mock Family Clinic	Whale Green, M.D.	Dermatology
		Wolf Nelson, NP-C	Nurse Practitioner - Family
		Turkey Ramirez, MD	Obstetrics & Gynecology - Obstetrics
		Giraffe Jones, APRN	Nurse Practitioner - Acute Care
		Antelope Scott	Nurse Practitioner - Family
Low	Cow Ramirez	Cow Ramirez	Pathology - Dermatopathology
Low	Zenith Hospital	Hyena Walker, DO	Dermatology
		Falcon Rivera, M.D.	Dermatology - MOHS-Micrographic Surgery
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	Cow Williams, M.D.	Dermatology
		Snake Doe, MD	Surgery
Low	Chicken Lee	Chicken Lee	Dermatology
Low	Nimbus Corporation	Giraffe Adams, M.D.	Dermatology
		Giraffe Green, MD	Dermatology - Procedural Dermatology



Overall Rate Distribution Relative to Peers

The chart below visualizes the rates of the baseline group against both the 5th-95th percentile population range (gray) and the peer interquartile range (green) for each of the selected billing codes. Rates are normalized to the baseline provider rate for each billing code to show an easy comparison. The x-axis is expressed as percent change in rate versus the baseline provider, so 0%% is equal to the payment rate of the baseline provider for the given code, negative values represent payments to peers below the baseline, and positive values indicate higher peer rates. The light gray segment showing the population 5th-95th percentile range, the light green segment shows the peer 25th-75th percentile range, the dark-green square marks the peer median, and the blue x-marker marks the baseline provider. We assess that a particular rate has a strong case for renegotiation if the baseline provider's rate is below the peer interquartile range, and we assess that there is a moderate case for renegotiation of a rate if it is below the peer median but inside of the lower boundary of the peer interquartile range.




Figure 1. Overall rate distribution relative to peers



Overall Rate Distribution Relative to Peers

The table below summarizes the same selected billing codes numerically, including the baseline rate, the peer 25th/50th/75th percentile reference points, and the baseline provider's rank within the displayed peer group.

Table 5. Overall numeric summary of selected billing codes and Medicare benchmarks

Billing Code	Medicare Rate	Baseline Rate	Baseline Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Peer P25 Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Peer Median Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Peer P75 Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta vs. Peer Median	Baseline Rank in Peer Group (1st = best, 21st = worst)
17003	\$6.43	\$6.33	98.4%	111.0%	126.2%	228.5%	+28.2%	17th of 21
17004	\$165.14	\$168.40	102.0%	113.1%	127.1%	174.8%	+24.6%	17th of 21
17250	\$91.84	\$88.54	96.4%	99.7%	117.5%	133.2%	+21.9%	16th of 21
99211	\$24.76	\$22.53	91.0%	94.9%	110.8%	131.9%	+21.7%	19th of 21
17312	\$409.89	\$436.44	106.5%	104.8%	128.0%	140.0%	+20.2%	16th of 21
17314	\$392.10	\$418.64	106.8%	104.7%	127.8%	151.3%	+19.7%	16th of 21
17313	\$637.19	\$694.19	108.9%	105.6%	128.5%	152.0%	+18.0%	16th of 21
11106	\$154.04	\$168.39	109.3%	111.6%	128.6%	156.5%	+17.6%	17th of 21
11102	\$97.15	\$110.67	113.9%	116.3%	133.1%	163.1%	+16.9%	17th of 21
99213	\$96.70	\$81.41	84.2%	71.7%	90.5%	128.6%	+7.4%	12th of 21



Selected Billing Codes

Selected Billing Codes

A total of 10 billing codes were selected for detailed review. The selection logic favors strong underpayment signals, higher-quality comps, and diversity across billing-code families.

These selected billing codes break down into 9 "Very Strong," 1 "Likely," 0 "Maybe," and 0 "No" underpayment possibilities, helping focus negotiation preparation on the clearest opportunities.

Table 6. Selected billing codes for detailed review

Billing Code	Code Description	Baseline Rate	Peer Median Rate	Peer Count	Baseline Rank in Peer Group (1st = best, 21st = worst)	Underpayment Possibility	Delta vs. Peer Median (Positive = Peer Rate Higher)
17003	Wart Removal 2-14 Warts	\$6.33	\$8.12	20	17th of 21	Very Strong	+28.2%
17004	Wart Removal; 15+	\$168.40	\$209.82	20	17th of 21	Very Strong	+24.6%
17250	Chemocautery, Granuloma	\$88.54	\$107.92	20	16th of 21	Very Strong	+21.9%
99211	Minimal Service, Est, E/M	\$22.53	\$27.42	20	19th of 21	Very Strong	+21.7%
17312	Mohs surgery, each additional stage	\$436.44	\$524.58	20	16th of 21	Very Strong	+20.2%
17314	Mohs surgery, each additional stage, up to 5 blocks,	\$418.64	\$501.28	20	16th of 21	Very Strong	+19.7%
17313	Mohs, 1 Stage, T/A/L	\$694.19	\$818.88	20	16th of 21	Very Strong	+18.0%
11106	Incisional biopsy of skin; single lesion	\$168.39	\$198.08	20	17th of 21	Very Strong	+17.6%
11102	Tangential biopsy of skin; single lesion (e.g. shave biopsy)	\$110.67	\$129.32	20	17th of 21	Very Strong	+16.9%
99213	Expanded Service, Est, E/M	\$81.41	\$87.47	20	12th of 21	Likely	+7.4%



Detailed Billing Code Analysis

Billing Code 17003 - Wart Removal 2-14 Warts

The baseline provider group is paid \$6.33 for billing code, 17003, compared with a peer median of \$8.12 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 28.2%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 16 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 98.4% of Medicare.

Table 7. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 17003

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$6.33	98.4%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$8.05	125.2%	+27.2%
High	Crow Doe	\$6.13	95.3%	-3.2%
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$5.22	81.2%	-17.5%
Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$5.83	90.7%	-7.9%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$7.55	117.4%	+19.3%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$17.97	279.5%	+183.9%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$8.77	136.4%	+38.5%
Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$10.65	165.6%	+68.2%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$6.73	104.7%	+6.3%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$7.85	122.1%	+24.0%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$6.13	95.3%	-3.2%
Low	River Corporation	\$7.79	121.1%	+23.1%
Low	Faux Care Associates	\$14.47	225.0%	+128.6%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$17.44	271.2%	+175.5%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$15.51	241.2%	+145.0%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$14.91	231.9%	+135.5%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$8.18	127.2%	+29.2%
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$7.57	117.7%	+19.6%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$14.91	231.9%	+135.5%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$9.58	149.0%	+51.3%
	Peer Median	\$8.12	126.2%	+28.2%

Billing Code 17004 - Wart Removal; 15+

The baseline provider group is paid \$168.40 for billing code, 17004, compared with a peer median of \$209.82 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 24.6%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 16 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 102.0% of Medicare.



Detailed Billing Code Analysis

Table 8. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 17004

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$168.40	102.0%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$214.33	129.8%	+27.3%
High	Crow Doe	\$161.45	97.8%	-4.1%
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$137.43	83.2%	-18.4%
Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$153.60	93.0%	-8.8%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$193.95	117.4%	+15.2%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$348.42	211.0%	+106.9%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$230.87	139.8%	+37.1%
Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$206.15	124.8%	+22.4%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$179.59	108.7%	+6.6%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$209.52	126.9%	+24.4%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$161.45	97.8%	-4.1%
Low	River Corporation	\$196.29	118.9%	+16.6%
Low	Faux Care Associates	\$366.17	221.7%	+117.4%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$438.15	265.3%	+160.2%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$408.62	247.4%	+142.6%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$288.61	174.8%	+71.4%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$210.12	127.2%	+24.8%
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$202.04	122.3%	+20.0%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$288.61	174.8%	+71.4%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$229.95	139.2%	+36.5%
	Peer Median	\$209.82	127.1%	+24.6%

Billing Code 17250 - Chemocautery, Granuloma

The baseline provider group is paid \$88.54 for billing code, 17250, compared with a peer median of \$107.92 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 21.9%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 15 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 96.4% of Medicare.

Table 9. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 17250

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$88.54	96.4%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$112.69	122.7%	+27.3%
High	Crow Doe	\$87.19	94.9%	-1.5%



Detailed Billing Code Analysis

High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$74.24	80.8%	-16.2%
Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$82.97	90.3%	-6.3%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$107.87	117.5%	+21.8%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$120.00	130.7%	+35.5%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$124.68	135.8%	+40.8%
Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$71.68	78.0%	-19.0%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$95.96	104.5%	+8.4%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$111.96	121.9%	+26.5%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$87.19	94.9%	-1.5%
Low	River Corporation	\$102.04	111.1%	+15.2%
Low	Faux Care Associates	\$190.32	207.2%	+115.0%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$222.40	242.2%	+151.2%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$220.67	240.3%	+149.2%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$100.35	109.3%	+13.3%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$116.86	127.2%	+32.0%
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$107.96	117.5%	+21.9%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$100.35	109.3%	+13.3%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$164.56	179.2%	+85.9%
	Peer Median	\$107.92	117.5%	+21.9%

Billing Code 99211 - Minimal Service, Est, E/M

The baseline provider group is paid \$22.53 for billing code, 99211, compared with a peer median of \$27.42 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 21.7%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 18 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 91.0% of Medicare.

Table 10. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 99211

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$22.53	91.0%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$23.55	95.1%	+4.5%
High	Crow Doe	\$23.42	94.6%	+4.0%
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$19.94	80.5%	-11.5%
Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$22.29	90.0%	-1.1%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$35.13	141.9%	+55.9%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$27.28	110.2%	+21.1%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$28.81	116.4%	+27.9%



Detailed Billing Code Analysis

Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$22.97	92.8%	+2.0%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$23.77	96.0%	+5.5%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$28.09	113.5%	+24.7%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$23.42	94.6%	+4.0%
Low	River Corporation	\$27.26	110.1%	+21.0%
Low	Faux Care Associates	\$34.06	137.6%	+51.2%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$59.97	242.2%	+166.2%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$52.69	212.8%	+133.9%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$27.56	111.3%	+22.3%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$37.80	152.7%	+67.8%
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$27.01	109.1%	+19.9%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$27.56	111.3%	+22.3%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$31.24	126.2%	+38.7%
	Peer Median	\$27.42	110.8%	+21.7%

Billing Code 17312 - Mohs surgery, each additional stage

The baseline provider group is paid \$436.44 for billing code, 17312, compared with a peer median of \$524.58 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 20.2%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 15 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 106.5% of Medicare.

Table 11. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 17312

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$436.44	106.5%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$555.46	135.5%	+27.3%
High	Crow Doe	\$407.21	99.3%	-6.7%
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$346.64	84.6%	-20.6%
Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$387.42	94.5%	-11.2%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$481.39	117.4%	+10.3%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$679.66	165.8%	+55.7%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$582.31	142.1%	+33.4%
Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$403.96	98.6%	-7.4%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$452.26	110.3%	+3.6%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$527.63	128.7%	+20.9%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$407.21	99.3%	-6.7%
Low	River Corporation	\$483.33	117.9%	+10.7%



Detailed Billing Code Analysis

Low	Faux Care Associates	\$901.39	219.9%	+106.5%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$1081.66	263.9%	+147.8%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$1030.62	251.4%	+136.1%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$565.54	138.0%	+29.6%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$521.52	127.2%	+19.5%
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$508.79	124.1%	+16.6%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$565.54	138.0%	+29.6%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$531.55	129.7%	+21.8%
	Peer Median	\$524.58	128.0%	+20.2%

Billing Code 17314 - Mohs surgery, each additional stage, up to 5 blocks,

The baseline provider group is paid \$418.64 for billing code, 17314, compared with a peer median of \$501.28 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 19.7%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 15 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 106.8% of Medicare.

Table 12. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 17314

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$418.64	106.8%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$532.81	135.9%	+27.3%
High	Crow Doe	\$389.19	99.3%	-7.0%
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$331.30	84.5%	-20.9%
Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$370.28	94.4%	-11.6%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$460.49	117.4%	+10.0%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$629.73	160.6%	+50.4%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$556.54	141.9%	+32.9%
Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$374.28	95.5%	-10.6%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$431.72	110.1%	+3.1%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$503.68	128.5%	+20.3%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$389.19	99.3%	-7.0%
Low	River Corporation	\$463.07	118.1%	+10.6%
Low	Faux Care Associates	\$863.46	220.2%	+106.3%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$1034.83	263.9%	+147.2%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$985.02	251.2%	+135.3%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$523.99	133.6%	+25.2%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$498.88	127.2%	+19.2%



Detailed Billing Code Analysis

Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$485.69	123.9%	+16.0%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$523.99	133.6%	+25.2%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$715.08	182.4%	+70.8%
	Peer Median	\$501.28	127.8%	+19.7%

Billing Code 17313 - Mohs, 1 Stage, T/A/L

The baseline provider group is paid \$694.19 for billing code, 17313, compared with a peer median of \$818.88 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 18.0%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 15 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 108.9% of Medicare.

Table 13. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 17313

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$694.19	108.9%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$883.51	138.7%	+27.3%
High	Crow Doe	\$636.43	99.9%	-8.3%
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$541.74	85.0%	-22.0%
Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$605.47	95.0%	-12.8%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$748.34	117.4%	+7.8%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$1026.92	161.2%	+47.9%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$910.09	142.8%	+31.1%
Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$610.10	95.7%	-12.1%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$708.90	111.3%	+2.1%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$827.05	129.8%	+19.1%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$636.43	99.9%	-8.3%
Low	River Corporation	\$748.55	117.5%	+7.8%
Low	Faux Care Associates	\$1396.50	219.2%	+101.2%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$1683.13	264.1%	+142.5%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$1610.77	252.8%	+132.0%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$854.14	134.0%	+23.0%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$810.72	127.2%	+16.8%
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$797.51	125.2%	+14.9%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$854.14	134.0%	+23.0%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$1177.07	184.7%	+69.6%
	Peer Median	\$818.88	128.5%	+18.0%



Billing Code 11106 - Incisional biopsy of skin; single lesion

The baseline provider group is paid \$168.39 for billing code, 11106, compared with a peer median of \$198.08 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 17.6%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 16 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 109.3% of Medicare.

Table 14. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 11106

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$168.39	109.3%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$214.31	139.1%	+27.3%
High	Crow Doe	\$155.66	101.1%	-7.6%
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$132.52	86.0%	-21.3%
Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$148.11	96.2%	-12.0%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$180.90	117.4%	+7.4%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$289.49	187.9%	+71.9%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$222.59	144.5%	+32.2%
Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$172.14	111.8%	+2.2%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$171.56	111.4%	+1.9%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$200.16	129.9%	+18.9%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$155.66	101.1%	-7.6%
Low	River Corporation	\$181.88	118.1%	+8.0%
Low	Faux Care Associates	\$339.13	220.2%	+101.4%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$401.94	260.9%	+138.7%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$393.97	255.8%	+134.0%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$241.00	156.5%	+43.1%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$195.99	127.2%	+16.4%
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$193.01	125.3%	+14.6%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$241.00	156.5%	+43.1%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$212.18	137.7%	+26.0%
	Peer Median	\$198.08	128.6%	+17.6%

Billing Code 11102 - Tangential biopsy of skin; single lesion (e.g. shave biopsy)

The baseline provider group is paid \$110.67 for billing code, 11102, compared with a peer median of \$129.32 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 16.9%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 16 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 113.9% of Medicare.

Table 15. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 11102



Detailed Billing Code Analysis

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$110.67	113.9%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$140.85	145.0%	+27.3%
High	Crow Doe	\$102.33	105.3%	-7.5%
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$87.12	89.7%	-21.3%
Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$97.37	100.2%	-12.0%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$114.09	117.4%	+3.1%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$190.32	195.9%	+72.0%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$146.33	150.6%	+32.2%
Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$113.16	116.5%	+2.2%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$112.86	116.2%	+2.0%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$131.67	135.5%	+19.0%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$102.33	105.3%	-7.5%
Low	River Corporation	\$117.62	121.1%	+6.3%
Low	Faux Care Associates	\$219.19	225.6%	+98.1%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$258.95	266.6%	+134.0%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$258.99	266.6%	+134.0%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$158.42	163.1%	+43.1%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$123.60	127.2%	+11.7%
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$126.97	130.7%	+14.7%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$158.42	163.1%	+43.1%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$139.23	143.3%	+25.8%
	Peer Median	\$129.32	133.1%	+16.9%

Billing Code 99213 - Expanded Service, Est, E/M

The baseline provider group is paid \$81.41 for billing code, 99213, compared with a peer median of \$87.47 across 20 peer groups. That places the baseline less than the peer median by 7.4%, with 3 High / 4 Medium / 13 Low quality comps in the displayed table. The baseline group is paid lower than 11 of 20 peers for this billing code. The current baseline rate is approximately 84.2% of Medicare.

Table 16. Detailed rate comparison for Billing Code 99213

Comp Quality	Employer Name	Rate	Normalized Medicare Rate (%)	Delta
Baseline	Juniper Dermatology	\$81.41	84.2%	0.0%
High	Faux Specialty Center	\$85.11	88.0%	+4.5%
High	Crow Doe	\$76.06	78.7%	-6.6%
High	Cobalt Therapy Clinic	\$64.73	66.9%	-20.5%



Detailed Billing Code Analysis

Medium	Maple Medical Center	\$72.34	74.8%	-11.1%
Medium	Obfuscated Care Associates	\$137.23	141.9%	+68.6%
Medium	Summit Hospital	\$65.74	68.0%	-19.2%
Medium	Sigma Care Associates	\$93.55	96.7%	+14.9%
Low	Sigma Care Associates	\$55.22	57.1%	-32.2%
Low	Lambda Family Clinic	\$79.06	81.8%	-2.9%
Low	Rat Garcia	\$93.43	96.6%	+14.8%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$76.06	78.7%	-6.6%
Low	River Corporation	\$106.32	109.9%	+30.6%
Low	Faux Care Associates	\$133.33	137.9%	+63.8%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$236.50	244.6%	+190.5%
Low	Mock Family Clinic	\$171.11	176.9%	+110.2%
Low	Cow Ramirez	\$66.26	68.5%	-18.6%
Low	Zenith Hospital	\$147.65	152.7%	+81.4%
Low	Obfuscated Corporation	\$89.84	92.9%	+10.4%
Low	Chicken Lee	\$66.26	68.5%	-18.6%
Low	Nimbus Corporation	\$115.38	119.3%	+41.7%
	Peer Median	\$87.47	90.5%	+7.4%



Disclaimer

Reveon Health analysis is based on processed machine-readable-file data supplied by payers due to the Transparency-in-Coverage Executive Order and has been combined with other public data sets to provide relevancy of rate comparisons to the requested provider. This analysis is intended to supplement contract negotiations and should be combined with other relevant contributing negotiation factors to be most effective. We do not guarantee accuracy of this data nor positive outcomes in contract negotiations. Please review our Privacy Policy (<https://reveonhealth.com/privacy-policy/>) and Terms of Service (<https://reveonhealth.com/terms-of-service/>) for additional information.

